

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/806,730	10/806,730 03/22/2004		Yi-Lung Kuo	23724-07787	2535		
758	7590	10/19/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER		
FENWICK SILICON V			LEVI, DA	LEVI, DAMEON E			
801 CALIFO			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
MOUNTAI	VIEW,	CA 94041	2841				

DATE MAILED: 10/19/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/806,730	KUO, YI-LUNG		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Dameon E. Levi	2841		

Before the riling of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
•	Dameon E. Levi	2841	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 29 September 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THI	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) 	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo e of the final rejection.	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C ust be filed within one	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) of the following
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	(b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi te of the final rejection, o	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as even if timely filed,
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further co They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below the companion of the compani	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re		the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1			(0.7.01, 0.0.4)
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		impliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:	⊠ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wi vided below or appended.	II be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-11</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	د		
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affidat	vit or other evidence i	s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by			nce because:
12. $\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \$	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	19(6) / /	•
13. Other:	0.10	KAMAND CUNEO	2
	SHDED	WINCOUNDATION PURE	

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Application No. 10/806,730

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The claim amendments submitted therein are not sufficient to patentably distinguish the claims from the prior art. The prior still teaches or suggests the lower end of the bracket in the bottom opening opening (205a,b). Further Prosecution on the Merits is required.